

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,729	02/27/2004	G. Barrie Kitto	D6465	9702
52034 75	90 07/17/2006		EXAMINER	
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, L.L.P. 600 CONGRESS AVENUE			NAVARRO, ALBERT MARK	
SUITE 2400	S AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX	78701		1645	
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/789,729	KITTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark Navarro	1645			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ac	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 16(a). In no event, however, may a ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
· _ · · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · · _ · · · _ · · · · _ · · · · · _ ·	action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner.	٠		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	• •	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage		
application from the International Bureau			_		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies no	t received.			
	•				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	J 102)		

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-9, and 13-19, drawn to bacterial hosts, classified in class 435, subclass 252.3.
- II. Claims 10-12 and 20-22, drawn to methods of decreasing fertility, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.

Additionally Groups I-II are further restricted in view of MPEP 803.04 which sets forth that up to 10 sequences will be searched in an application. Applicants are required to elect up to 10 sequences for examination, the balance of which will be withdrawn from further consideration.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the bacterial host can be used to decrease fertility in vivo as claimed, or alternatively may be cultured in vitro to express the recombinant protein.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their separate classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,729

Art Unit: 1645

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Navarro whose telephone number is (571) 272-0861.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,729 Page 4

Art Unit: 1645

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (571) 272-0864. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark Navarro Primary Examiner July 12, 2006